

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 108 046

CG 009 860

AUTHOR Powell, Barbara S.
TITLE Children as Teachers: Stages in Cross-Age Tutoring.
PUB DATE Apr 75
NOTE 41p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (Washington, D.C., March 30-April 3, 1975)

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.76 HC-\$1.95 PLUS POSTAGE
DESCRIPTORS Case Studies; *Children; *Cross Age Teaching; *Educational Innovation; Elementary Education; *Learning Processes; Program Descriptions; *Role Perception; Speeches

ABSTRACT

In this document, the author: (1) describes a two-year study of cross-age teaching; (2) identifies six stages that children go through in learning to teach; (3) applies those stages to case studies of two tutors--one failure and one success; and (4) discusses the implications of the stages for the role of the supervisor in helping children to become successful teachers. (Author)

* Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished *
* materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort *
* to obtain the best copy available. nevertheless, items of marginal *
* reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality *
* of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available *
* via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not *
* responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions *
* supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original. *

ED108046

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

1002
SP

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

CHILDREN AS TEACHERS

Stages in Cross-Age Tutoring

Barbara S. Powell

Harvard University

and

Education Development Center

Cambridge, Massachusetts

Presented at the

American Educational Research Association

Annual Meeting, Washington, D.C.

April, 1975

Cross-age teaching is an ancient practice which is currently undergoing a revival in schools. At first it was used mainly to relieve the burden of the regular teacher and to give individual attention to the tutees. Then teachers discovered that cross-age teaching greatly benefited the older children as well. Tutoring programs are popping up everywhere to improve reading achievement, increase motivation, and provide a positive work experience. Finally, cross-age teaching has been advocated as a way to halt the break-down of the family and reduce the age-segregation in our society.

Coleman, et al. in Youth: Transition to Adulthood maintain that children spend an increasingly longer time in school, yet school basically does not give the skills and experiences necessary for becoming an adult. Specifically, schools do not provide:

Opportunities for responsible action, situations in which [the child] came to have authority over matters that affected other persons, occasions in which he experienced the consequences of his own actions.
(Coleman, 1975, p. viii)

The authors suggest tutoring as one way to give young people responsible roles, reduce age-segregation, and create a greater sense of community within a school.

Bronfenbrenner, too, thinks "the most urgent needed innovation in the American classroom is the involvement of pupils in responsible tasks on behalf of others within the classroom, the school, the neighborhood, and the community." (Bronfenbrenner, 1973, p. 160)

He suggests that older classrooms adopt younger classrooms, with pupils having younger "brothers" and "sisters" -- to help them learn and play with them outside of school. Bronfenbrenner argues that cross-age relationships are critical both to increase a sense of community and to promote development of the older and the younger children.

Since cross-age teaching is being widely touted by academicians and policy makers as a remedy for many social ills, it is important to take a closer look at what actually happens when a child takes the role of teacher. In this paper I shall:

- (1) describe a two-year study of cross-age teaching;
- (2) identify six stages that children go through in learning to teach;
- (3) apply those stages to case studies of two tutors: one failure and one success; and
- (4) discuss the implications of the stages for the role of the supervisor in helping children to become successful teachers.

The Cross-Age Teaching Program

I designed and implemented a two-year investigation of a cross-age teaching program in which sixth graders (the tutors) taught second graders (the tutees) in an inner-city elementary school. The first year I did a pilot study of six tutoring pairs; the second year the program was expanded to include all the children in the sixth grade (24) and in the second grade (25).

The objective of the pilot study was to document the process a child goes through in learning to teach and to examine the effect of tutoring on

the tutor's view of teaching and learning. I hoped the program would change the tutor's concept of learning from a passive absorption of facts to a more dynamic questioning--and would lead the tutors to learn more on their own. I expected that by playing the role of teacher the child would become more conscious of the way he learned and a more active learner.

I also expected that behaviors practiced in the teacher role would carry over into the student role; i.e., through taking the role of teacher the tutor would alter his own role as learner. As a teacher, the older child has a number of responsibilities: making decisions about what to teach, planning lessons, identifying needs and interests in the pupil, evaluating the pupil's performance. I thought that if children have some control over the learning situation as tutors, perhaps they would then feel more control as learners. I hoped that this greater sense of control would contribute to the development of a more positive self-concept for the tutors.

A review of the scant cross-age teaching literature that exists suggested that the innovation had great potential for giving a tutor more responsibility and a more positive self-concept. But the studies lacked a theoretical framework and did not document the process of cross-age tutoring.

Role Theory

Role theory provides a logical framework for analyzing cross-age teaching. The crux of the theory is the idea that playing a new role alters the concept of self and the concept of others who normally play that role. In order to develop the self, an individual has to become an object to himself (through becoming a "me"). To do this he has to step outside himself and take the attitude of others toward himself. This ability to take the point of view of others helps him to develop beliefs about himself--his own self concept. Thus taking the role of another is vital to the development of self concept (G.H. Mead, 1934).

A person performs a particular role according to the expectations of others. In most schools the learner's role is determined largely by the expectations of the teacher. Rosenthal and Jacobson (1968) have demonstrated how a teacher's expectations influence children's learning. Holt (1964) and Jackson (1968) both document children's views of the teacher as an authority figure and as a "dispenser of knowledge," as well as children's views of their own role as passive learners.

In order for the role-playing experience to be successful in changing the tutors' attitudes toward teaching and learning and improving their self-concept during the cross-age teaching experience, two conditions are necessary: (1) a firm commitment to the role, and (2) a chance to take initiative in the role.

If the tutor sees the teacher role as beneficial to himself, he will want to play the role and will work hard at it. A tutor may also become involved in the role because he likes being in a position of power, testing himself, or helping someone. Or if the tutor is treated as an authority by his pupil or as a colleague by his teacher, he will begin to treat himself

differently. If the tutor adopts the behavior of a teacher, he will then see himself as someone who can teach. In addition, he will have a different concept of what a teacher is. Teaching takes on a new meaning by virtue of the fact that he has done it.

Unless children see teaching as beneficial in some way to themselves, they will gradually lose the motivation to keep playing the role. If children dislike what the role represents or what it is doing to them, they will be unenthusiastic about performing the role. They may feel at the role has no point, or that it is actually detrimental to their als. For example, they may feel they are taking up time that could be better used for studying which would raise their grades. In such cases, the commitment to the teaching role is bound to be inadequate. In short, the more the role succeeds in gaining a tutor's personal involvement, the greater the probability that it will affect his attitude and behavior.

The second condition for a successful role-taking experience is a chance to exercise initiative within the role. If the role provides wide latitude for children to take initiative, then the role-taking experience will have a greater impact on their attitudes and behavior. If, on the contrary, the role is circumscribed or constricting--if the tutors are told precisely what to teach or if the methods allowed are restricted--then the attitudes will be less likely to change.

Results of the Pilot Study

During the one-year Pilot Study I worked with six tutors who in turn taught eight second graders. The tutors planned, taught, and evaluated their lessons three days a week for four months. My role was essentially to guide the planning and evaluation sessions and to observe closely the tutoring process. Using anthropological field methods, primarily participant observation and pre- and post-tutoring interviews, supplemented by tutors' field notes, projective tests, and informal communications with teachers, I recorded the attitudes and behavior of the tutors.

The results indicated that there were tremendous individual differences in the children's experiences as teachers. For some, tutoring provided a chance to adopt a role which they were ready and eager to take. For others it was a frustrating and negative experience. Three tutors took to the teaching role like fish to water, two dropped out, and one felt he was a failure as a teacher.

The three tutors whom I have labeled "successes" had positive views of the teacher role before tutoring. Initial interview data indicated that they saw teachers as patient, fair, intellectually stimulating, willing to hear students opinions, and personally interested in their pupils. These students also said that they worked best in situations where they worked on their own.

I learned the most in Miss B.'s room because sometimes she wasn't there, and I did a lot of work on my own, arithmetic and reading and stuff like that, especially if she wasn't there.

These three tutors always planned lessons carefully, used a variety of resources in their teaching and analyzed and evaluated their teaching experience

in their journals. In fact, they held the same ideas of teaching and learning that were valued by the tutoring program--taking initiative, identifying and extending pupils' interests, exploring in depth a particular substantive area.

Tutoring gave these three children a greater sense of authority.

I feel like I'm the teacher. When I'm in the tutoring room I feel like I'm the boss, and when I'm in my regular classroom I feel like I'm the employee...

Tutoring also affected the successful tutors' views of teaching and learning. As Margaret said:

...before I wanted a teacher that was perfect, nothing wrong with her, and I wouldn't want that now.

She realized that teachers were fallible and she now recognized the important effect of high expectations:

The same mistake I was making the teachers make too, some of the time. Like letting pupils do anything they want when they get up, walk around. You should say something to them so they sit down. And if they don't want to write something, you should urge them to do it.

The main thing she learned from tutoring was

...Responsibility, I guess. Being in charge of kids; being the boss; being the one who has to make decisions about what we're going to do; being the one who has to make them learn it.

Margaret felt she had affected her pupil, a shy second grader, positively.

When I came...she wasn't talking to anybody. After I came in there she was talking to a lot of people.

She thought she herself was different in tutoring than in her regular class. She was very quiet in class, but she liked to talk in tutoring:

If I don't talk, they won't talk. It's kind of that I have to have something to say in here.

Of the three unsuccessful tutors, one dropped out because of personal problems unrelated to tutoring, the second tutor felt more at home in his regular (traditional) classroom and left by choice; the third, Eric, completed the tutoring responsibilities but felt he had failed as a teacher.

What accounts for the differences between the successful and unsuccessful tutors? Role theory gives us a partial explanation. For children who were already somewhat intellectually curious, self-confident, independent, and able to take responsibility in learning situations, taking the role of teacher was a chance for them to play out and explore a particular view of learning. In their regular sixth grade classroom they read textbooks and answered the questions at the end of the chapter ("What is the annual rainfall in Rome?"). The cross-age teaching program provided the successful tutors with a chance to practice a repertoire of behaviors which they valued, but which their sixth-grade teacher did not.

For children who were less confident about new learning situations, however, or who did not have a particularly positive identification with the teacher role, tutoring had a neutral or negative experience. Joshua said:

[I want] a strict teacher. A strict teacher! Like when the pupils are bad, teacher don't tell them to go sit down and fold his hands, but punish him.

This "unsuccessful" tutor simply did not share the values of the tutoring program. In all cases, the "failures" felt no sense of accomplishment and could see no benefit to themselves from the tutoring experience.

Teaching and learning are not merely matters of identifying and playing roles, however. Equally important in the process are the learning environment or context, the developmental level of the tutors and pupils, and the mastery and recognition of skills. In order to explain the successes and failures among the tutors, we must look beyond role theory.

The cross-age teaching program was carried out in a school where traditional educational values and practices were the rule. The context of the program was thus somewhat alien to its values. Many of the teachers were not self-directed, intellectually curious, interested in problem-solving, or well-integrated. Behaving as a teacher in such a situation would not, therefore, be likely to produce these qualities in the tutors. Even assuming that these qualities were a part of the teachers' behavior, the children may never have learned the teacher's role. If they have never practiced these behaviors, they have nothing to transfer to their other roles. Finally, the general school environment contributed to the lack of role transfer. Margaret and Donald ("successes") both asserted that they talked, made decisions about learning, and took responsibility in tutoring, but not in their regular classroom. They may have perceived that the expectations of the teacher and the school precluded such assertive, self-directed learning behavior. Given the current values of the

school, which of course were not changed by the cross-age teaching program, it was somewhat unrealistic to expect the children to apply their behavior as tutors to their behavior as pupils in the class. These contextual factors contributed to "failure" in some students, while other tutors were encouraged to be successful since they could practice in the program behaviors they valued but could not adopt in the classroom. In the two years I was at the Thorndike School, for instance, I rarely observed the sixth-grade teacher engaged in instruction. The pupils spent most of their time doing arithmetic problems, reading textbooks, and writing out answers to chapter questions. Almost never did the teacher have a discussion with her pupils or ask their opinion about substantive matters. When I asked the tutors to give their views on something, one asked, "May I ask you a question? Do you think writing your opinion is talking back?"

Another qualification to the application of role theory to cross-age teaching is the cognitive developmental stage of the children. I had assumed that sixth-grade children would be critical of their schooling and full of alternative ways of learning to the drill, repetition, right-answer routine. As tutors, I thought they would bring their original and varied ideas about learning into practice. In fact, some of the tutors were critical, but none really had any alternatives to the learning model at the Thorndike. The notion of children's "models of learning" was itself a construct in my head, not in theirs. The children did not have well-worked-out models of what the learning process entailed; the question was not important to them. Since they did not have a coherent plan of how learning should occur and

since the program did not provide any alternative models, many tutors never expanded upon the teacher role. Sixth-grade children are clearly able to adopt and adapt the teacher role. But generally they have not reached a point where it is possible to conceptualize a learning model. Therefore, learning must be construed in terms of concrete techniques, strategies, and materials which the tutors need in order to be effective teachers, not in terms of ideas and models in the children's heads.

Finally, teaching and learning relate to mastering and recognizing skills: competence. According to Robert White (1961, p. 104), a sense of competence comes from "cumulative success experiences with manipulating the environment." Almost all of the tutors felt success experiences with both the subject matter and their pupils. Yet it is clear from these cases that some children had the competence to perform well in the teaching role before tutoring and that these students improved their skills while others did not have the skills to begin with and were unable or unwilling to acquire them through cross-age teaching. Most students fall between these extremes. For them, the question arises, can we identify the points at which intervention will be helpful and the type of intervention that is appropriate?

Stages of Cross-Age Teaching

During the second year of the cross-age teaching program, there was a more comprehensive intervention. The three supervisors (two student teachers and I) took a more active role than I had the first year. We acted as role models for the tutors, stressed the importance of continuity

and integration of subject matter, and consciously tried to help the tutors apply their personal experiences to teaching. The intervention and greater understanding of the process of tutoring helped make the experience more positive for a greater number of children. It became apparent that we could identify the critical stages of cross-age teaching; that is, the steps that the tutors go through in learning to teach. A closer look at these stages should be helpful to the supervisor of cross-age teaching in learning how and when to intervene to help those tutors who are having trouble.

I will briefly mention each of these six stages of cross-age teaching and analyze them with reference to their implications for two tutors: one failure and one success.

1. *Establishing a sense of competence.*

In this initial stage the tutor starts with his own strengths and adopts various props associated with teachers to establish a position of authority.

2. *Contacting the child's interests.*

Having established control and expertise, the tutor becomes less concerned with his own authority and begins to identify and work on the needs and interests of his pupil. He is able to deal with the challenge to his authority and competence which occurs particularly at this early stage.

3. *Drawing on resources to expand the child's interests.*

The tutor finds or creates materials appropriate to the child's level.

4. *Recognizing and coping with the end of an activity.*

Having survived the hiatus that usually occurs at this point in the process, the tutor comes to realize that a particular topic is no longer productive. He has to stop, take stock, and locate the child's interests again.

5. *Reciprocating expectations.*

In this stage the expectations of tutor and pupil re-enforce each other in their new roles.

6. *Becoming self aware; more conscious of the role.*

The tutor is able to see the effects of his teaching on himself, and he becomes more critical of his own teachers as well as more precise about his views of good teaching.

With these stages in mind, let us look at the two tutors, Eric and Margaret. Each presents the student's attitudes as stated in the initial interview on teachers and learning. They describe their best teacher and their worst teacher and give reasons for their choices. They describe their "ideal teacher"--the teacher they would choose if they could have any kind of teacher in the world. They are asked to describe the situation in school where they have learned the most, what they would like to learn about, what they think is most important to know about, the difference between learning at home and at school, and their perception of themselves as learners. Finally, they describe their "dream school," the school they would build if they had "a million dollars" and complete control of design, program and personnel.

I have deliberately dealt with attitudes toward teachers and learning separately from tutoring behavior because people often say one thing and do another. After presenting the tutor's views on teaching and learning, each case study then has a description of the actual tutoring behavior. The data come from my field notes, the tutors' field

notes, and the transcripts of tutoring sessions and discussions. In describing the tutoring behavior, I am particularly interested in the tutor's teaching style, the criteria and variety of the content of his lessons, his handling of authority and the relationship with his pupil. Authority is determined by several concrete behaviors: ability to plan lessons, anticipation of pupil's needs, and control of pupil.

In the second interview the tutor is asked directly about the effect of the experience on himself. The impact of the cross-age teaching program on the tutor is assessed both by how he tutors and by what he says about the program.

ERIC BARROWS

[I learned] that teaching is hard. That's all
...Sixth graders can't be teachers....[I
learned] that I can't teach.

Views of Teaching and Learning

In Eric's view teachers should be "mean" and "nice." He would "be meaner to the class" than his regular teacher, "so they would do everything I say." He "wouldn't let them write on the board." He'd make them write a lot "instead of talking and things." He'd "give them a lot of homework. Just to see if they would do it." If they didn't, he would "give them a U in homework." And he wouldn't promote those students who "don't learn much."

Eric believed that he himself learned more if the teacher is somewhat "mean." He described a former teacher who "was mean and then he was real nice." He gave a lot of homework, did not allow the students to write on the board, but taught a great deal of social studies and seemed to infuse the class with a sense of purpose. If he could have any type of teacher, Eric would want "a nice and a mean teacher,"..."for the nice side, not give a lot of homework and not do much, but for the bad side, sometimes we'd have a whole lot of work" because "we might learn more, you know." He felt that a nice teacher who did not give a lot of work really didn't teach him anything.

Eric was very hesitant to answer questions about his views on learning. He felt that the situation in which he had learned most was in fifth-grade social studies "because we used to do that mostly every afternoon." If he could choose anything, he would like to learn about baseball, arithmetic and science--the areas of his greatest proficiency. At first he couldn't think how he could learn more about these areas, but then he thought he could learn about baseball from a coach or by being on a team, and about science from "someone that teaches science" or from books. He thought the most important thing to learn in life was how to get a job.

As a learner Eric acted "sometimes bad and sometimes good." He was "bad"

...when I don't want to do things, like history and geography and language. Not really bad, I just like to be bad most of the time. I don't know why really. I talk and fool around and go outside....When we have to write punishment, I'm bad at that.

In fact, Eric was a good reader (7.3 level in 6th grade) and a generally quiet, well-behaved pupil.

In his interview Eric indicated a concern about authority and control in teachers. His example about giving homework just to see if the pupils would do it suggests a belief that the teacher has a right to set arbitrary tasks to prove his control. The task need not have any intrinsic worth. The pupil performs the task because the teacher said so, not because of any legitimacy of the task. Eric felt that the meaner the teacher, the more apt he was to learn. For Eric, discipline was an important prerequisite for learning. At the same time, he thought a teacher should be "nice" and interested in his subject. In his views of good teaching, Eric represented a middle position among the tutors. He was neither as positive about teachers as the successful tutors (who saw them as predominantly intellectually stimulating and personally caring), nor as negative as the tutors who dropped out (who saw good teachers mainly as disciplinarians). Eric had two strong themes in his view of teachers: control and interest.

Tutoring Behavior

In describing how Eric approached the role of teacher I shall discuss what he taught and how he interacted with his pupil Benjy. Eric decided to start with Benjy's interests. "This isn't a regular subject, so I have to do what he's interested in." He found out that Benjy was interested in electronics, but he did not understand how radios operated and could not

think of a way to teach what Benjy wanted to learn. Then Eric tried to teach a subject he knew something about: insects. He brought in several books with pictures of various insects. But Benjy was not interested. He described the situation in his field notes.

He was acting up today. We did not learn much. I was teaching him about insects. He said he hates insects except butterflies. Tomorrow I will teach about seeds. And we will plant the bean.

Eric knew nothing about butterflies. After this initial concern about, and attempts to deal with, his pupil's interests, Eric's teaching became less oriented toward Benjy's needs and concerns.

In reference to the stages of learning to teach in cross-age teaching, Eric skipped stage one. He did not start out with his own strengths and he did not demonstrate to his pupil his own competence or interests. Instead he went straight to stage two, contacting the child's interests, and he discovered that Benjy was interested in things that Eric did not know about. There seem to be two problems here. First, Eric did not feel that his competencies--baseball, for example, and other aspects of his personal experience--were appropriate for a school setting. Second, his view of the teaching role lead him to believe that he had to possess the knowledge himself, rather than acquire it through various resources.

After that Eric basically had two lessons: reading a biography of George Washington Carver and growing a bean plant. He saw a connection between the two; he had specially chosen to teach about Carver because "he was the only plant doctor I knew." When he introduced the two topics his

lesson plan read: "Tomorrow we will read a book about George Washington Carver and compare the plant to the plant in the book." He also had another purpose: "The thing I want him to learn is that he was a slave and he got free." Every day he would read aloud a section from the Carver book in a soft voice, most of the time unaware of Benjy's reaction. Occasionally, Eric would ask Benjy some questions, or tell him something about slavery.

Every day Benjy would carry the bean plant upstairs and put in on the windowsill of the tutoring room. When the reading excerpt was over, Benjy would get the plant, return to his seat, and write out his "Daily Bean Report." They watered it, counted the stalks, measured how much they grew from week to week, and speculated on why some leaves turned brown. The plant report indicates that Benjy had a keen eye and in fact learned something from watching the bean plant grow. The point is that the plant was just about the only meaningful enterprise Eric presented and without other stimulating activities, it too began to be a chore.

It seems fair to say that Eric never moved to stage three in the cross-age teaching process--he never drew on resources to meet Benjy's needs. Eric tried to draw on his pupil's interests, but lacked the knowledge and skills to do so. The first year tutoring program did not help him develop the specific competences he needed.

The only other thing that Eric did was design and construct a cardboard boat. He seemed to build the boat to show his own competence,

rather than to teach Benjy how to do it. He gave Benjy no materials to work with and never explained what he was doing or how to do it. But he seemed happier with the boat than with Carver or the plant. Perhaps he felt he was teaching Benjy that he was proficient in something.

It is almost as if Eric realized belatedly in his efforts to learn to teach that he had to demonstrate some area of competence to his pupil. He had not done so in the beginning when he could have established a kind of authority by demonstrating his expertise.

Of his two views of teacher--generating and extending pupils' interests and maintaining control--the latter gradually predominated as Eric failed to teach something that sustained Benjy's interest. Eric did not perceive the relationship between thorough lesson planning and control. As the months wore on, he resorted to techniques of control used by the only role models he had--his own teachers. He never got beyond the early stages in learning to teach; he got stuck in stage two and didn't have the skills to move on. Having failed to go anywhere with Benjy's interest and lacking alternatives, he reverted to the only other role model he knew, that of disciplinarian. The rest of this case study documents continued deterioration.

Eric's teaching followed a set pattern: He would forget his lesson plans or materials, then he would get scared that he had nothing to teach, and finally he would take it out on Benjy by threats, tests, or boring and meaningless tasks.

One day when he had not planned, he filled a piece of graph paper with random marks and then asked Benjy to count them. (This was a standard "punishment" his sixth-grade teacher often gave.) After getting to about 77 Benjy began to groan. He could see no purpose to the task. Eric admitted that he was unprepared and could think of nothing else to do. On another occasion he made Benjy read silently out of the biography of George Washington Carver (which was on a sixth-grade level), while he prepared a lesson on beans from an encyclopedia.

Discipline, control, and having Benjy "mind" him were important to Eric throughout the cross-age teaching program, yet he never seemed to progress to the point where he felt confident that Benjy would do what he wanted. He sometimes placed Benjy on a small chair with his back to the other children to sustain his authority. Occasionally Benjy challenged Eric, but in a joking kind of way. He drew a portrait of Eric and put a dunce cap on it. Or he requested Eric, as he was droning on, to ask him some questions. Or he ribbed him for wearing sneakers all the time and not shoes. But though he sometimes called the shots during a lesson and sometimes did not disguise his boredom and impatience, Benjy never openly rebelled against Eric. Eric knew he had some measure of control over Benjy, but he also knew the difference between the control of conduct and the control of learning.

Eric realized that Benjy behaved well when he was interested in the subject, but he could never seem to create more stimulating lessons. In a hypothetical progress report to Benjy's mother he wrote:

Benjy, sometimes he is good, and sometimes he is bad, like when he likes something I teach him he is good, but when he doesn't like the work, he just looks out the window or at the other tutors.

Eric's ever-present concern with keeping Benjy under control strongly influenced his relationship with his pupil. Eric was quiet, and a bit shy or distant. Often he and Benjy didn't interact at all. When there was a task that they could both do, Eric would do it alone and Benjy would watch. One day, for example, they had to tie the stalks of the bean plant to stakes. Instead of showing Benjy how to put in the stakes and loosely tie the stalks to them, Eric spent 40 minutes in deep concentration tying all the stalks himself. Benjy watched, then looked around at the other tutoring pairs, then leafed through his math book in a desultory manner.

Eric began several abortive projects, but he was unable to cope with ending the Carver and bean projects--which consequently went on and on far beyond any possible usefulness. This inability to reach stage four in the process of teaching his first project hindered the success of any new undertakings.

Eric felt that the lack of a good relationship was not entirely his own fault. When the other tutors intimated that he did not care about his pupil he wrote in his field notes that the pupil really didn't care about tutoring.

Eric set up tasks (as in the case of his building the cardboard boat) so he could prove his own competence. As he explained afterwards, "I wouldn't let Benjy do it because he didn't know how." He explained why he had tended to the plant himself rather than take the time to show Benjy, "Benjy wasn't dying, the plant was." By this time the two were in a kind of

negative stage five: reciprocating expectations. Benjy expected that Eric probably would not do much more than read from the Carver biography and water and observe the bean plant. Eric expected that Benjy might be bored, but wouldn't get too much out of hand. They didn't expect much from each other in the way of teaching or learning.

By the end of his teaching experience, Eric was pretty gloomy about his participation in the tutoring program. On the positive side he admitted he behaved better in tutoring than in the room ("When I'm tutoring, I'm not that bad, but in the room...Why? because in tutoring you don't have to be bossed around by a lot of other people...") He thought the most important thing about the program was "to tutor a person, to teach them something," but he didn't think he was a good teacher, and he didn't like anything about teaching. He hated to plan and he thought his biggest problems were "planning, teaching him, and telling him what words meant." The only thing he learned from tutoring is "that teaching is hard, that's all."

He didn't know if Benjy had learned anything. He said, "He was mostly bad, he just ignored me." He realized that part of the problem was that Benjy was bored with George Washington Carver and that the book was difficult for a second grader. If he had it to do over again, Eric would not teach the same things, "...because then I'd know what he didn't like."

He thought that tutoring had made him realize that his school needed "better lights and better teachers." The teachers should be smarter, "They should have college teachers...and they'd know more." He thought Benjy had

learned that "sixth graders can't be teachers." He was appalled that the program might be expanded for the whole sixth grade the next year and commented: "I'd hate to be in the sixth grade next year."

The tutoring experience...taught me a lesson. The teacher thinks it's a hard time on us. I think it's a hard time just with one person, but with a whole class, it should be real hard for the teacher.... What I learned about myself is, that I can't teach.

At the end of the program Eric had become more self-aware and had changed his view of teaching and learning, as is indicated in the last stage of the cross-age teaching process. He learned, however, that teaching is hard, that he couldn't teach, and that he had failed to affect someone else's life positively. Put strongly, the program proved to him that he was incompetent as a teacher. Like stage five, stage six occurred, but in a strongly negative fashion.

MARGARET

While Eric was unsuccessful in his teaching experience and illustrates the negative effects of cross-age teaching, Margaret was very successful in her tutoring and exemplifies the stages positively. Margaret was very bright, hard-working and quiet in class. She read constantly and told me that she liked reading good authors. Her reading level in May was 8.6. She was chosen for the program because her teacher hoped it would help her to become less shy.

Views of Teaching and Learning

The best teacher Margaret ever had

...let us have our own opinions. With some teachers you can't do that, you have to wave your hand. And we didn't rush things....

If Margaret could have any teacher she wanted, she said she would be

...patient and understanding. She wouldn't just be putting on an act. She would really want us to learn. She wouldn't just want to get her paycheck every week. She'd have to care about us, and she'd have to help some kids maybe after school....

Margaret wanted to learn things by herself: "I'll do it on my own, go to the library, use books at home, take walks outside, collect different things, go on a different park."

Margaret thought for a long time about what was the most important thing to learn in life, not just in school. Finally she said, "Respect, respect everybody, respect other people." Margaret said that she did not actively ask questions in class and suggested that asking questions implied weakness and made one open to ridicule. If the teacher was introducing

something difficult, Margaret would try it out on her own rather than seek help. At the same time Margaret was quite confident that she could learn virtually anything if she set her mind to it and worked hard at it: "If I want to learn, I will."

Thus Margaret viewed teaching as a personal endeavor. Teachers should establish warm relationships with pupils, yet at the same time have high expectations. She seemed fairly confident of her ability to handle new learning situations. Margaret's expressed interest in teaching and learning predisposed her toward a program that allowed her to seriously play the role of teacher. Her views of teaching and learning were generally similar to the values of the tutoring program itself. Her enthusiasm for tutoring from the beginning and her high expectations increased the likelihood of her success.

Tutoring Behavior

When I asked Margaret what a tutor should begin with in teaching a pupil, she asserted, "...what you're good in teaching." Margaret was "good" in literature and her first lesson plans indicated her own interests as well careful planning to achieve particular goals:

There is a story in my book about a parrot.
I'll read the story and we'll talk about it. I want
her to learn how they made up stories in days of old.
I want her to know what fiction is and half-fiction
and half-true is. I want her to be able to write a
half-fiction and half-true story.

Unlike Eric, Margaret starts with great confidence, drawing on her own interests and strengths, as appropriate in the first stage of teaching in a cross-age program. There is no stumbling and probing at this early point to ask the pupils what they want to do. Instead, very specific and realistic tasks are set in which Margaret is confident of both her

competence and her teaching capability.

Margaret based her classes on a perception of her pupil's needs. One day she designed a game "to improve Melinda's memory." Margaret had a great sense of continuity and always related new material to previous things her pupils had learned or to familiar things outside of school. After her first projects in story reading and telling, she began to try to find out the interests of her pupil:

I don't think I'll start any lessons today. I'll get to know her and ask her what she likes, what she'd like to do later on when she's grown up, what she thinks of improving the community....Before I teach her things like reading, I have to get her to enjoy doing things with me.

She clearly perceived the relationship between careful planning and controlling the teaching situation. Invariably she was prepared and usually her lessons were based on the pupil's needs and performance of the day before. An early example of Margaret's teaching style illustrates her use of imagination as well as her directness in tackling a specific need, in this case Melinda's reticence.

After a series of questions and monosyllabic answers from Melinda, Margaret said, "Don't you say anything but 'yes'? Is that all you say? Are you dead? You're alive?" She asked a series of fanciful questions.

Margaret: Are you going to be a hippopotamus when you grow up?
Melinda: No
Margaret: Are you always like this?
Melinda: No
Margaret: Do you talk a lot?
Melinda: Yeah.
Margaret: You sure about that?
Melinda: Yeah.

Margaret went smoothly from drawing upon her own competence to the second stage of teaching in a cross-age program, working on the needs and interests of her pupil. As is often the case, she met considerable resistance and testing at this stage. In Melinda's case it took the form of silence and lack of response.

Then they talked a bit about Melinda's pet cat, Fluffy. Throughout the conversation Margaret probed gently, trying to loosen up Melinda, invented imaginary questions, tolerated the long silences and tried to disguise her mounting frustration. Margaret tried various strategies to get Melinda to respond. She experimented with a number of different topics and materials. She used the tape recorder, took Melinda to the zoo, and brought in a variety of things from home including a stuffed yellow and blue bird for Melinda to touch and describe. Then she tried role reversal, with Melinda acting as teacher and Margaret sitting silently at her desk. As teacher Melinda began to smile and was less taciturn. Margaret varied the content of her lessons from straight, conventional subjects and skills (arithmetic, spelling, penmanship) to games, relaxing activities, and creative projects (like writing a letter to Mrs. Hippopotamus). She constantly improvised during the lesson. For example, once she was reading a story about Father Bear going fishing and she stopped to describe the various parts of a bear's anatomy. She was very inventive in devising lesson materials and always used them to make a point. She used rag dolls, made out of remnants, to teach about family relationships, and felt puppets and role playing to teach about the function of a nurse and a policeman.

Once Margaret thought she had uncovered an interest or need of Melinda's, she was quite prepared to try to build upon it. She possessed an amazing array of techniques for drawing out Melinda's ideas and she spent time and effort finding and preparing special materials when she thought they would be helpful.

Margaret's work was frustrating and slow because Melinda was so unresponsive. After all her planning and after a really fine and exciting lesson, Melinda would sometimes sit in quiet resistance. Finally Margaret wrote in her journal:

I think it would be better if I got someone else or just quit. She does pay attention, she just doesn't care. I think it would be unfair to just go in and take someone else. I'm going to talk to her straight out.

When Margaret talked to her "straight out," Melinda indicated that she really liked to come to tutoring, but she still wouldn't talk.

Renewing her attempt to motivate Melinda and wanting to teach one topic more in depth, Margaret was open to the suggestion of her supervisor that she teach one unit for two weeks and then take Melinda on a related trip.

They chose to learn about shells. Margaret got books about shells, asked the librarian to bring in some shells and made little Shell Note-books for Melinda. She reported in her field notes:

I taught today about shells and had some shells to show her....I felt very good today. She learned different things such as: what color it is (brown and white), what the name of the shell is (Krebs Welentrap), where you find that shell....Tomorrow the shell I am going to teach is conch.

Melinda wrote in her notebooks that animals live in shells and shells are like houses. After teaching about shells for two weeks, Margaret took Melinda to the Aquarium one Saturday. They lingered over the special exhibit of shells and tried to identify the shells in the bottom of the fish tanks. When they returned to school, Margaret had Melinda write a story about the trip.

The trip had been fun and Melinda had shown some evidence of learning in her notes, but she was still unresponsive in tutoring. Margaret had to be persuaded to continue. She had reached a plateau: she could not think of anything she wanted to teach under these circumstances: "I did not teach anything. I felt awful. Melinda did not learn anything. She just sat there. IT WAS JUST A BLACK DAY."

Often, after the tutor has begun to probe and expand upon the pupil's interests and found a variety of resources with which to do this, there is a period of depression, a hiatus. Melinda's resistance was very stubborn and Margaret's feeling of frustration and hopelessness was deep. She continued to improvise new techniques and improve upon old ones and to find new materials. Intervention from the supervisor helped her order the materials better and suggested a way to cope with ending one activity before introducing another.

By this time, however, Melinda's unspoken expectations began to play a strong part in Margaret's self-definition. Melinda counted on her and she felt she couldn't disappoint her. She felt a commitment to her pupil and decided a tutor should not be permitted to drop or

switch pupils:

...because their pupils get used to them. And they might like her and then when they go to someone else, they feel sad. And then they are mad because you're not going to teach them any more.

She felt she should tutor even if she wasn't in the mood and even if Melinda seemed not to care, because "I know she really is expecting me. And I don't come. That's like Christmas--and you don't come."

Only very gradually did Melinda begin to bloom. One day Margaret swung her on the stairs and she smiled. Another day Margaret passed her in the hall, during the morning "silent filing." Again Melinda smiled. She gave other little indications that she might be reaching out to Margaret. As Margaret wrote after a month of tutoring, "She acted as if she wanted to tell me something. I found out a lot of her problems in school."

Margaret's concern that Melinda like her and care about tutoring gradually lessened. During the early part of the program Margaret frequently mentioned Melinda's lack of involvement in tutoring and described what Melinda had learned in terms of her emotional response. But as she became confident that Melinda did like her ("She seemed to enjoy herself and I think she likes me now"), Margaret became more involved with Melinda's academic progress. By the end of the program, Margaret felt more confident, more accepted and more valuable:

[I felt] very happy and surprised when this sentence came up in language and Melinda put my name in: "My friend is Margaret." I'm delighted to know I'm a friend.

After appropriate intervention kept Margaret from giving up too soon, Margaret began to read Melinda's subtle signs of affection and Melinda began to show these more openly. Margaret learned that children differ in the ways they show feelings. As Margaret had higher expectations, Melinda responded and the teaching and learning cycle of mutual, supportive expectations was under way.

Margaret began to feel that, despite all the problems she had had with Melinda, she had a better relationship with her pupil than her teacher did with her. As she said, "I would like my teacher to teach me the way I teach Melinda." She felt she understood teaching much more than when she had started. She almost felt as if she could legitimately demand more of her own teacher.

When I was first tutoring, I didn't know how to. I didn't know what kind of teacher I liked. But after I have been tutoring for a long time I know because I was teaching someone else.

Earlier she had thought her teacher didn't teach anything badly. Now she was critical of her for dictating essay topics. "I don't like it when they say, 'Write about this. Write about that.' I want to go into a big field with green grass...and write about what I want." And now she wanted an ideal teacher who was much more personally aware than her previous ideal:

Everybody'd admire her and she'd make the kids feel needed and help them if they're having personal problems. Give them each attention.

When I asked the tutors to make up questions that they thought were important to ask themselves about the program, Margaret suggested, "What feelings did you get from coming up here?" She paused a long time before answering, and then replied:

Wanting to be with more people. Because I like it. Usually I like to be alone, but I like to be with people more here. I didn't like to be with a lot of people and now I like it. I go more places; I didn't used to do that. I like being with her (Melinda), so I want to be with more people.

Margaret thought the purpose of the program was "to see if kids can teach kids better than teachers can and to see if they can help them more." She thought the purpose was achieved:

For me I think it was. Because in Melinda's room, I came in there and she was doing things, walking around, but she wasn't telling anybody. After I came in there she was talking to a lot of people. I don't know if she learned more, but she talks to more people. That was her only problem.

Margaret also thought that I had learned something from the program:

Maybe that we're not all stupid...maybe we're a little smarter than you thought we would be, have more ideas. Most times grown-ups don't think we have any opinions of our own.

Tutoring seems to have given Margaret an opportunity to develop friendships with her pupils and to exercise the considerable talents she possesses. But for Margaret there was no carryover of the tutoring role to classroom behavior. She talked much more in tutoring, but she remained very quiet in class. Tutoring seemed to have no impact on her role as a learner in the classroom. She felt she could understand the teacher's

point of view better than she had before and she had a clearer idea of the kind of teacher she would want to have. The program affected her views of teaching, but not her behavior as a pupil in her own classroom. She also developed insight into the learning process and the need for a child to take initiative as a learner.

Sometimes you have to wait with them because they wouldn't know what to do and they just sit there and pout and you have to kind of help them, but you can't always help them, you've got to let them think by themselves so that they'll know what to do by their own self...they have to learn.

Margaret had reached stage six--becoming self aware and more conscious of the teacher role--and she was able to apply this knowledge in her work with Melinda. Her teacher's style and the traditional atmosphere of the school made it impossible to do this back in her own classroom. Most important at this stage is that Margaret's self concept had changed and been strengthened by her success in establishing with Melinda the warm personal relationship that she wanted with her own teachers.

Ericson has described the period of seven to eleven as the age for trying on roles. Ericson refers to a "sense of industry" during this latency period, and White (1961, p. 138) contends that "during latency the chief developments are in the sphere of competence." He further elaborates on Erikson's theory:

The child reaches a point where he is no longer satisfied with just play and make-believe. In line with his interest in becoming an adult, he needs to feel useful and to be able to make things and deal with things that have significance in the adult world....His efforts may be enhanced by "positive

identification with those who know things and know how to do things." The danger lies in the possibility that success will prove to be elusive and social encouragement too weak, in which case the legacy of the stage may be a lasting sense of inadequacy and inferiority. (p. 129)

This age, then, represents a period of great potential for the development of competence or for the development of a sense of inferiority. The challenge is to maximize the opportunities for children to become successful teachers. One path to competence is through the adoption of an adult role such as teacher.

Implications for the Role of Supervisor

Some children, like some adults, take naturally to teaching. Like Margaret they seem to possess a personality, imagination, ability to connect with pupils, and skills up her sleeve that good teachers usually have. Others, like Eric, don't readily adopt the role of teacher. He was motivated, he cared very much what he was doing, and he wanted to help his pupil, but he lacked the skills needed to teach and the program did not give him the support he needed. The question now becomes, what role can a supervisor take to help these tutors who need it to learn the steps of cross-age teaching?

1. Establishing a sense of competence.

It is clear that attitudes toward teaching and learning before tutoring have something to do with the way a tutor approaches the role of teacher. The supervisor should find out a potential tutor's concept of a good teacher and how he best likes to learn before the tutoring program begins. This knowledge can help you tailor the intervention to individual tutors.

The supervisor's role at this initial stage is to identify the strengths of the tutor and legitimate the tutor's use of his own competences--even if the tutor thinks this is inappropriate. Eric, for instance, might have been counseled to teach about baseball. He would have to be persuaded that baseball--a non-school subject--would be a valid topic to teach and he would need techniques to teach it.

2. *Contacting the child's interests.*

The role of the supervisor is to help the tutor find out what the pupil is interested in or what his particular needs are, and then to provide concrete ways that the tutor's strengths and competencies can be directed toward helping the child.

3. *Drawing on resources to expand the child's interests.*

When Eric found out that Benjy wanted to learn about butterflies, the program failed to provide him with any concrete suggestions. Here the supervisor could have suggested that Eric get butterfly pictures or books from the library, get materials from home, and plan a coherent unit around this one particular interest. The supervisor also should have provided particular suggestions on how to teach the subject.

4. *Recognizing and coping with the end of an activity.*

Having tutors write an evaluation after each lesson, including how they felt about the day, can help make clear to them how things are going, their own feelings about whether the pupil is bored, and whether they think it is time for a change. Weekly discussions among tutors can also help.

5. *Reciprocating Expectations.*

The supervisor can help the tutor voice his own expectations of his pupil and point out behaviors, comments, gestures, etc., on the part of the tutee that indicate the child's expectations. It is important, too, that the supervisor act as a role model for the tutor, so the tutors can learn new techniques--particularly if they have a limited repertoire of teaching behaviors.

6. *Becoming self-aware; more conscious of the role.*

A tutor needs a chance to talk about how the experience of tutoring has effected him, how he thinks he might have changed, what he learned about teaching and himself.

The results of the second-year program indicated that tutors felt mastery over subject matter ("I didn't understand what I was learning until I started to teach. I learned something about something I didn't know there was.") and over their own capacities for self-directed learning. ("If a real teacher teaches her pupils with geography, you couldn't go ahead in geography. But if you were tutoring somebody, you could go ahead in things that you could learn more about.") The tutors also learned particular skills and abilities associated with the teacher role. "I can work with little kids" and "I learned how well I can teach" and "I understood more about being a pupil" were typical responses.

The tutors felt their pupils improved in mathematical and reading skills and had learned new content areas, such as the way people live in Japan, the life style of fishes, how seeds grow into plants. The second graders also

had a chance to do more creative writing than in their regular class. A few tutors felt that their pupils had learned insights into themselves and other people during the tutoring sessions, for example, one learned how to deal with failure: "She learned that...it was nothing to cry about because everybody makes mistakes."

With an understanding of the stages that children go through in learning to teach, and with an intervention based on those steps, the program was able to help more tutors successfully adopt the role of teacher and feel more competent in the arena of teaching and learning.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Amsler, Jane F., Contratto, Susan, and Schieffelin, Barbara J. Clarity and Confusion: An Observational Study of Four Schools. Harvard Graduate School of Education, 1968. (unpublished).
- Becker, Howard S. and Geer, Blanche, "Participant Observation: The Analysis of Qualitative Field Data," Human Organization Research. Homewood, Illinois: The Dorsey Press, 1960, pp. 267-289.
- Bohannon, Paul J., "Field Anthropologists and Classroom Teachers," Social Education, 32 (February 1968), 161-166.
- Brazelton, T. Berry. Infants and Mothers: Differences in Development. New York: Delacorte Press, 1969.
- Bronfenbrenner, Urie. Two Worlds of Childhood: U.S. and U.S.S.R. New York: Pocket Books, 1973.
- Carlson, E. R., "Attitude Change Through Modification of Attitude Structure," Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 52 (1956), 256-261.
- Coleman, James et al. Youth: Transition to Adulthood. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1974.
- Flavell, John H. The Development of Role-Taking and Communication Skills in Children. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1968.
- Gartner, Alan, Kohler, Mary, and Riessman, Frank. Children Teach Children: Learning by Teaching. New York: Harper & Row, 1971.
- Glaser, Barney G. and Strauss, Anselm L. The Discovery of Grounded Theory, Strategies for Qualitative Research. Chicago: Aldine Publishing Company, 1967.
- Goffman, Erving. Encounters: Two Studies in the Sociology of Interaction. Indianapolis: The Bobbs-Merrill Company, 1961.
- Holt, John. How Children Fail. New York: Pitman Publishing Co., 1964.
- Jackson, Phillip W. Life in Classrooms. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1968.
- Janis, I. L. and King, B. T., "The Influence of Role Playing on Opinion Change," Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 49 (1954), 211-218.
- Janis, I. L. and Gilmore, J. B., "The Influence of Incentive Conditions on the Success of Role Playing in Modifying Attitudes," Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 1 (1965), 17-27.

- King, B. T. and Janis, I. L., "Comparison of the Effectiveness of Improvised Versus Non-Improvised Role-Playing in Producing Opinion Changes," Human Relations, 9 (1956), 177-186.
- Kohlberg, Lawrence, "Stage and Sequence: The Cognitive-Developmental Approach to Socialization," Handbook of Socialization Theory and Research, Goslin, David A. ed. New York: Rand McNally and Co., 1969, pp. 347-480.
- Lippitt, P., Eiseman, J. and Lippitt, R. Cross-Age Helping Program Dissemination Materials, Vol. I, II. University of Michigan, 1968. (mimeographed).
- Lippitt, Peggy, and Lohman, John E., "Cross-Age Relationships -- An Educational Resource," Children, 12 (May-June 1965), 113-117.
- Mead, George Herbert. Mind, Self, and Society From the Standpoint of a Social Behaviorist. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 1959. (orig. pub. 1934).
- Minuchin, Patricia, Biber, Barbara, Shapiro, Edna, and Zimiles, Herbert. The Psychological Impact of School Experience. New York: Basic Books, 1969.
- Rasmussen, David. Cross-Age Teaching in Elementary School. Harvard Graduate School of Education, 1969. (unpublished).
- Rosenthal, Robert, and Jacobson, Lenore. Pygmalion in the Classroom. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., 1968.
- Sarason, Seymour B. et al. Psychology in Community Settings. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1966.
- Sears, Pauline S. and Sherman, Vivian S. In Pursuit of Self-Esteem Case Studies of Eight Elementary School Children. Belmont, California: Wadsworth Publishing Company, 1964.
- Shapiro, Annette Frank, and Hopkins, Lee Bennett. "Pupil-teachers," The Reading Teacher (November 1967), 128-129.
- Sigel, Irving E. "The Piagetian System and the World of Education" in Studies in Cognitive Development, Elkins, David and Flavell, John H. eds. New York: Oxford University Press, 1969, pp. 465-488.
- Smith, Louis M. and Geoffrey, William. The Complexities of an Urban Classroom. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1968.
- Thelan, Herbert A. Learning by Teaching. Chicago: Department of Education, University of Chicago, 1968.
- White, Robert W. "Competence and the Psycho-sexual Stages of Development," Nebraska Symposium on Motivation. Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press, 1960, pp. 97-144.